UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,002	01/19/2006	Santiago Echeverri	2590-146	5148
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 02/12/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	YANG, ANDREW		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/5	65,002	ECHEVERRI, SA	ECHEVERRI, SANTIAGO			
		Exan	niner	Art Unit				
		AND	REW YANG	3775				
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this commur Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming independent of the provision of the maximum of the reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUNICATI no event, however, may a reply be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr ne application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this one NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 Februar</i>	v 2008					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 February 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
' —	nce this application is in condition	<i>′</i> —		orosecution as to th	e merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ CI	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	aim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
·	aim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	aim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Application	Papers							
	e specification is objected to by th	e Examiner						
•			or b)□ objected to by th	e Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
					`FR 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
	knowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priorit	v under 35 H.S.C. 8 110	(a)-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠, Ac	<u> </u>	Tor Toreign priorit	y under 55 0.0.0. g 115	(a)-(a) or (i).				
<i>'</i> —.	☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have	heen received					
2.				ation No				
	<u> </u>				I Ctoro			
3.	_	•		ived in this Nationa	i Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	p(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	• •				

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 2/4/2008.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 23. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dale et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4893619).

Dale et al. discloses a guide 10 having a shaft 30, a frame 40 situated between the shaft 30 and an instrument fixing means. The instrument fixing means is considered an end of frame 40 that connects to a surgical tool 20. The guide 10 has pointing means 150, 140 at the distal end of the shaft 30. Pointing means 150, 140 are parallel and are adjustable. An orientation means 130 is used to define a reference plane.

Claims 1, 9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farmer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5141512).

Farmer et al. discloses a guide having a shaft 75, an instrument fixing means 71, and a frame 74 between the shaft 75 and instrument fixing means 71. The guide also has a pointing means 76 situated at the shaft distal end and orientation means 72 for defining a reference plane. The guide is used with a angle measuring device/calibration device (Figure 10B). The device of farmer et al. can also be considered a pelvic positioner having two feelers 66 and orientation means 73.

Claims 1, 3, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Subba Rao (U.S. Patent No. 5743265).

Subba Rao discloses a guide 60 having a shaft 80, an instrument fixing means at end 62 of the frame for attaching to tool 10. The frame is situated between the shaft 80

Art Unit: 3775

and instrument fixing means. A pointing means is situated at the distal 84 end of the shaft 80. The pointing means is a laser 90, and is considered situated at the distal end since the battery end 94 of the laser 90 is situated at a distal end of the shaft 80. An orientation means 40 defines a reference plane. The guide device is used with an acetabular cup instrument 10 having a shaft 20 a handle 24 and a distal end for receiving a cup positioner 100. The fixing means at end 62 is coupled to the tool, and thus is considered to be capable of having a quick release, such as a screw.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Subba Rao (U.S. Patent No. 5743235) in view of Sterrenberg (U.S. Patent No. 4393599).

Subba Rao discloses the claimed invention except for two bubble levels.

Sterrenberg discloses a guide device having two bubble levels 23, 25 in order to orient the device in accordance to two different axes. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Subba Rao with two bubble levels in view of Sterrenberg in order to orient the device with respect to two different axes. With regard to claim 6, it is only a functional recitation of

Application/Control Number: 10/565,002 Page 5

Art Unit: 3775

the device and thus, is anticipated if the corresponding structure is shown and is capable of performing the function. It is considered that the device of Subba Rao as modified by Sterrenberg is capable of performing the claimed function.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buechel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5030221) in view of Subba Rao (U.S. Patent No. 6743235).

Buechel et al. discloses a pelvic orientation witness 100, having a pelvic fixing means 300, and an orientation means 400. Buechel et al. further discloses a device 502 for use with an acetabular cup. Buechel et al. fails to disclose a guide member as claimed in claim 1. Subba Rao teaches the guide as claimed in claim 1 so that an instrument used with an acetabular cup can be properly aligned. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Buechel et al. in combination with the guide device as claimed in view of Subba Rao, so that the acetabular cup could be properly aligned.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/565,002 Page 6

Art Unit: 3775

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW YANG whose telephone number is (571)272-3472. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Yang/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775 2/4/2009 /Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733